

Cogate's Holiday Perfumes.

HARD BLOW FOR THEORIES.

NO COMFORT FOR FREE-TRADERS IN STUDYING THE IMPORTS AND EXPORTS.

INSTRUCTIVE AND INTERESTING COMPARISONS—THE "FREE RAW MATERIALS" DELUSION—SUPPLYING THE "MARKETS OF THE WORLD"—THE TARIFF AS A TAX.

Washington, Dec. 19.—An analysis of the statement of imports and exports published by the Bureau of Statistics of the Treasury Department for the three months ended October 31, 1896, and for the corresponding period of 1897, furnishes some comparisons which are both instructive and interesting. The former period covered months when the Wilson-Gorman tariff was in full and undisturbed operation, with no prospect of an immediate or early modification of its provisions, and the latter covered the first three full months after the enactment of the Dingley tariff and after the country had been subjected for five months to such a flood of anticipatory importations of both free and dutiable goods as had never before been seen. The advantages of a comparison, therefore, are, or should be, all in favor of the Wilson-Gorman tariff and of Democratic contentions in support of free-trade theories. If it is not, so much the worse for the theories. The free imports of articles of food and live animals during the three months ended October 31, 1896, amounted to \$20,488,257, and for the corresponding period of 1897 to \$20,143,125, a decrease of \$345,132. The dutiable imports of articles of food and live animals in the former period amounted to \$20,488,257, and in the latter period to \$18,622,747, a decrease of \$1,865,510. At the same time the exports of products of agriculture increased from \$17,383,285 in the former period to \$20,271,589, a net increase of \$2,888,304. The farmers of the United States will not be likely to find anything discouraging in these figures.

FREE RAW MATERIALS.

A favorite doctrine of the Democratic party for many years has been that of so-called "free raw materials," in order to encourage and promote American industries. During the three months ended October 31, 1896, the free imports of articles in a crude condition for use in domestic industries amounted to \$26,394,183, and the free imports of like articles during the three months ended October 31, 1897, amounted to \$23,739,007, an increase of \$2,655,176. The dutiable imports of like articles during the former period amounted to \$4,212,506, and in the latter period to \$8,915,564, an increase of \$4,703,058, or considerably more than 100 per cent.

WILLING TO PAY THE "TAX."

The total increase of imports of "raw materials," both free and dutiable, therefore, amounted to \$12,137,822 in a period of three months under the Dingley law, as compared with a like and corresponding period under the Wilson-Gorman tariff, despite the enormous anticipatory importations in the five months just preceding the former period. Verily such official figures, compiled by an ardent Free Trade chief of the Bureau of Statistics, strike a hard blow against one of the most cherished theories of latter day Democrats and their mugwump allies.

The free imports of manufactured articles for use in the mechanic arts during the three months ended October 31, 1896, amounted to \$6,506,153, and during the corresponding period of 1897 to \$3,613,487, a decrease of \$2,892,666; and the dutiable imports of like articles for use in the mechanic arts in the former period amounted to \$12,769,075, and in the latter period to \$10,748,971, a decrease of \$2,020,104. The free imports of manufactured articles for consumption during the three months ended October 31, 1896, amounted to \$14,690,527, and during the corresponding period of 1897 to \$2,857,030, a decrease of \$11,833,497; and the dutiable imports of similar articles during the former period amounted to \$2,746,549, and during the latter period to \$14,690,160, a decrease of \$9,943,611. That this decrease was mainly, if not wholly, due to the heavy anticipatory importations is strongly indicated by the fact that whereas the dutiable imports of manufactured goods for consumption amounted to \$4,872,245 in August, 1897, that in August, 1896, like imports for October, 1897, amounted to only \$1,113,729 less than in October, 1896.

Another Democratic doctrine has been, and is, that the luxuries of the rich should be taxed higher than the necessities of the poor, and another doctrine is that "the tariff is a tax." During the three months ended October 31, 1896, the free imports of "articles of voluntary use, luxuries, etc.," amounted to \$2,705,203, and during the corresponding period of 1897, to \$1,290,161, a decrease of \$1,415,042; and the dutiable imports of like articles during the former period amounted to \$13,361,482, and during the latter period to \$16,712,593, an increase of \$3,351,111. These figures indicate that people who can afford to indulge in luxuries are also willing to pay the "tax," whatever it may be.

ANOTHER DOCTRINE OF THE FREE TRADERS.

That, in order to gain possession of "the markets of the world" for their manufactured goods American manufacturers must submit to a low tariff on imported manufactures; that, "if the foreigner cannot sell to you he will not buy from you." This doctrine was proclaimed with great vehemence as well as solemnity both before and after the Dingley bill became a law, and many persons were impressed by it. The increase of exports of products of agriculture has heretofore been shown. Now, as to manufactured articles. The exports of such articles during the three months ended October 31, 1896, amounted to \$6,302,703, and during the corresponding period of 1897 they amounted to \$68,013,330, an increase of \$1,170,626. American progress in "the markets of the world" does not appear to have been stayed by the enactment of the Dingley law.

The exports of products of the mines, which, according to the Free Traders, are "raw materials," decreased from \$6,143,435 during the three months ended October 31, 1896, to \$5,548,256 in the corresponding period of 1897, and exports of forest products, which are also "raw materials," according to the same authority, increased from \$9,127,518 to \$9,515,586.

The exports of fishery products during the three months ended October 31, 1897, amounted to \$604,602 less than in the corresponding period of 1896, but as \$42,109 worth more foreign fish came into the United States over the "Chinese wall" of the Dingley tariff in the former period than during the latter period, the figures would seem chiefly to indicate that Americans are now able to buy and consume more fish, both imported and domestic, than they could in 1896 under the Wilson-Gorman tariff.

THE SUGAR CROP OF HAWAII.

Washington, Dec. 18.—The total output of sugar from the Hawaiian Islands for the year just closing, according to the figures of the Hawaiian Sugar Planters' Association, transmitted to the State Department by Consul-General Haywood, is put down

at 248,567 tons, against 248,565 tons last year. The planters had more trouble than usual in securing the 2,000 laborers on the plantations, 6,500 were of the Japanese, 5,235 free Japanese, 4,500 contract Japanese, and 1,901 free Chinese. The number of laborers whose contracts expire next year is 2,207, and the number wanted is 4,772. The Japanese seem to be preferred by the planters as laborers.

RAILROADS IN CHINA.

SMALL CHANCE FOR AMERICANS TO SECURE EMPLOYMENT, SAYS CONSUL-GENERAL GOODNOW.

Washington, Dec. 19.—The first report to the State Department from United States Consul-General Goodnow, at Shanghai, contains a warning to American railroad men not to go to China for employment. Says he: "The American who invites starvation who comes here without a definite contract of employment with some reputable firm made before he leaves America, I must advise United States railroad employees that there is at present no market for their labor in China, and should more roads be constructed, there will be a market only if these roads are built by Americans."

The Consul-General says he has had many inquiries from American railroad men as to the chances for employment in China, so he describes the small Chinese railroad system to show the hopelessness of looking in that direction for work. There are only two railways in operation, with a total mileage of 283 miles. They employ only twenty-seven foreigners, of whom only two are engineers, managers and division superintendents. The operations are conducted by Chinese, and a foreigner cannot compete with them.

The highest-salaried natives are the telegraph operators, at \$30 per month. Engineers get \$20 to \$30, and trainhands and trackmen \$15 to \$20 per month. All of this is in Mexican silver, worth 10 cents per dollar. The telegraph operators are paid 15 per cent dividends. Another road is under construction from Shanghai to Woon-Sung, the fourth mile Chinese road. The first road was recently turned over to the Chinese, and the second road is being completed. The Chinese syndicate in Hong Kong is the owner of the road, and the support of foreign governments for the Government loan would be secured by the Government loan. Mr. Goodnow points out that the material and skilled labor needed for the railroads. Mr. Goodnow points out that the material and skilled labor needed for the railroads. Mr. Goodnow points out that the material and skilled labor needed for the railroads.

Tiffany & Co., Union Square.

Open Evenings until Christmas.

MR. BRYAN'S TOUR IN MEXICO

AN OPPORTUNITY FOR HIM TO CORRECT SOME OF HIS MISCONCEPTIONS.

MEXICO'S EXPERIENCE WITH GOLD—HOW THE AMOUNT OF GOLD IN THE UNITED STATES HAS INCREASED SINCE BRYAN WAS NOMINATED, WITHOUT ANY HELP FROM HIS GREAT CURE FOR ALL ILLS.

Washington, Dec. 19.—Statesmen and financiers who are watching with some interest the tour of Mr. Bryan through Mexico are wondering whether he will discover any errors in the statements he put forth last year in behalf of the Mexican system of finance, which he asked the United States to adopt. While the fact that he received \$1,000 in Mexican money in exchange for \$500 of United States currency on entering Mexico might have the effect of weakening the faith he expressed in his Asheville, N. C., speech, and on many other occasions, that gold would not go to a premium in the United States if the free-coinage proposition were adopted, there is a number of other facts easily accessible in Mexico which it is hoped he will have time to look into and compare with the statements he made to the American people in the campaign of 1896. One of the interesting statements of his addresses was the insistence that gold would not leave the country under the free coinage of silver. In his Asheville speech he referred to above he said pointedly: "Not a dollar's worth of gold would leave this country under free coinage of silver. I believe under free coinage gold would come here instead of going away, and the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold."

There are several things which Mr. Bryan can learn in Mexico which may lead him to modify the belief he expressed a short time since. For instance, if he will take the trouble to inquire about Mexico's experience with gold he will find that the large sums of gold mined there in the last few years only \$200,000 now remains in the country. The gold mined in Mexico in the last three years aggregated about \$200,000,000 in 1894, \$400,000,000 in 1895, and something over \$500,000,000 in 1896, according to the figures of the Mint Bureau published at the beginning of the present fiscal year. The total amount of gold in Mexico was at that time only \$500,000, or practically one-fourth of the amount mined in three years. Gold has been mined in Mexico, of course, for many years and at times in great quantities, and the fact that Mr. Bryan is arriving there finds remains in the country, considering the large sums of gold mined there, is a considerable understatement of the fact. The flow of gold out of Mexico is a steady stream. The flow of gold out of Mexico is a steady stream. The flow of gold out of Mexico is a steady stream.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high.

Another statement of the paragraph from his speech quoted above, that "the only way to stop the outflow of gold is to adopt bimetalism, raise the price of wheat and pay our debts in produce instead of gold," seems to be fully borne out by the facts. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high, and the price of gold is not high. The price of wheat in Mexico is not high